**COWAN EL** 227901183 **AUSTIN ISD** 

## Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school y

2015 4	0% 45	%		*	57%	79%	*	*		-	80%	0%	36%	*	68%	61%	-
												/	/	/			
All Subjects 2016 1 2015 1				14% 7%	31% 26%	46% 48%		60° 55°		-	62% 58%	28% 19%	26% 23%	28% 23%	42% 41%	38% 35%	-
Reading 2016 1 2015 1				20% 8%	33% 29%	54% 63%		75° 55°		-	63% 68%	26% 19%	29% 29%	33% 25%	46% 52%	43% 41%	-
Mathematics 2016 1 2015 1				13% 0%	38% 28%	49% 48%		63° 55°		<b>-</b>	63% 44%	26% 23%	32% 21%	33% 33%	46% 38%	42% 37%	- -
Writing 2016 1 2015 8	4% 17°			*	25% 21%	27% 11%		* 60	%	-	40% 57%	33%	10% 12%	*	33% 24%	21% 18%	-
Science 2016 1 2015 1				*	15% 16%	31% 49%		43' *		-	100% 80%	* 0%	19% 16%	*	24% 34%	31% 32%	-
All Tests	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	* 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 94%	100% 100%		-
Reading	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 98%		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	* 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 92%	100% 100%		-
Mathematics	2016 2015	100% 99%	99% 99%		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	* 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 92%	100% 100%		-
Writing	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%		* 100%	100% 98%	100% 100%	*	* 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	*	100% 100%		-
Science	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%		* 100%	100% 98%	100% 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 98%	100% 100%	-
Reading Tests																	
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2016	98%	96%		*	100%	100%	*	-	-	*	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2016	6 13%	6%		*	38%	9%	*	-	-	*	22%	29%	-	20%	22%	-
Accommodations % STAAR Alternate2 % of Non-Participants	2016 2016 2016	11%	80% 10% 4%		* *	63% 0% 0%	91% 0% 0%	* *	- - -	- - -	* *	78% 0% 0%	71% 0% 0%	- - -	80% 0% 0%	78% 0% 0%	- - -
Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2016	6 99%	98%		*	100%	100%	*	-	-	*	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2016	5 12%	6%		*	50%	18%	*	-	-	*	30%	43%	-	20%	33%	-
Accommodations % STAAR Alternate2 % of Non-Participants	2016 2016 2016	12%	81% 11% 2%		* *	50% 0% 0%	82% 0% 0%	* *	- - -	- - -	* *	70% 0% 0%	57% 0% 0%	- - -	80% 0% 0%	67% 0% 0%	-

r memhi m

-0

## Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. Trs0

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>77</sup> In a ares. That the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Number Participating Total Students Participation Rate	359 359 100%	** ** 100%	159 159 100%	149 149 100%	* *	16 16 100%	- - -	19 19 100%	110 110 100%	23 23 100%	n/a n/a n/a	15 15 100%
Number Participating Total Students Participation Rate	359 359 100%	** ** 100%	159 159 100%	149 149 100%	* *	16 16 100%	- - -	19 19 100%	110 110 100%	23 23 100%	n/a n/a n/a	15 15 100%

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a
Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit s of Title	n/a n/a

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

## Priority and Frocus Schools

areathie lowest 58% offsicitle I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Ti∢

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.