

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

Campus Name: BLAZIER EL (227901185) AUSTIN
Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Reading/English Language Arts (ELA) (AYP Target: 73%)								
AYP Proficiency Rate								
2009-10 Assessments								
Met Standard	234	26	168	*	180	15	74	n/a
Number Tested	269	37	190	*	212	19	89	71
% Met Standard	87%	70%	88%	>99%	85%	79%	83%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	14%	71%	*	79%	7%	n/a	26%
Performance Improvement/Safe Harbor								
2008-09 Assessments								
Met Standard	176	19	122	*	128	5	52	n/a
Number Tested	220	28	152	*	163	8	68	65
% Met Standard	80%	68%	80%	93%	79%	63%	76%	n/a
Change in % Met Standard	7	2	8	*	6	16	7	
2009-10 AYP Proficiency Rate including Growth								
Met Standard or Growth	249	30	177	*	194	16	81	
Number Tested	269	37	190	*	212	19	89	
% Met Standard or Growth	93%	81%	93%	>99%	92%	84%	91%	

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable
A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

Campus Name: BLAZIER EL (227901185) AUSTINE18%

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

Campus Name: BLAZIER EL (227901185) AUSTIN
Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

2010 AYP Explanation Table

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP
Performance: Reading/ELA	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Performance: Math	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Participation: Reading/ELA	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Participation: Math	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Other: Graduation Rate	-						
Other: Attendance Rate	+						

- + Meets AYP
- Not Evaluated for AYP due to not meeting minimum size criteria, alternative not used, or the measure is not applicable
- % Missed AYP for this performance measure due to the 2% and/or the 1% federal caps
- X Missed AYP for this measure